Letter to the Editor: ¹H, ¹⁵N and ¹³C resonance assignments for the PTB domain of the signaling protein Shc

Amjad Farooq*, Lei Zeng & Ming-Ming Zhou*

Structural Biology Program, Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY 10029-6574, U.S.A.

Received 7 November 2002; Accepted 18 December 2002

Key words: NMR resonance assignments, phosphotyrosine binding domain, signaling protein Shc

Biological context

Protein-protein interaction via phosphotyrosine is an essential mechanism in cell signal transduction (Pawson and Nash, 2000). One of the key players in orchestrating cell signaling via tyrosine phosphorylation is the phosphotyrosine binding (PTB) domain of the signaling protein Shc (Kavanaugh and Williams, 1994). The PTB domain of Shc binds in a highly specific manner to the cytoplasmic domain of activated tyrosine-phosphorylated receptors and relays the signal to downstream proteins for cellular activities such as cell growth, cell differentiation and apoptosis. In order to understand the structural basis of the action of the PTB domain, we have already solved a high resolution solution structure of the Shc PTB domain complexed to a tyrosine-phosphorylated peptide (Zhou et al., 1995). However, the notion that proteins lack intrinsic globular structure under physiological conditions and that the attainment of fully folded structure only occurs upon ligand binding is rapidly gaining popularity (Dyson and Wright, 2002). It has been argued that such a behavior of proteins accounts for their diverse structural and functional versatility. Given that the Shc PTB domain is functionally promiscuous in its ability to recognize receptors as diverse as growth factor, antigen, cytokine, and G-protein-coupled and hormone receptors, it is possible that such a mechanism of protein structural and functional versatility may also be operative in this important protein module. In an effort to understand the extent to which ligand binding controls the formation of the intrinsic globular fold in the case of the Shc

PTB domain, we have undertaken studies to obtain a high resolution solution structure of this PTB domain in the absence of its peptide ligand. Here, we report the nearly complete sequence-specific backbone and sidechain ¹H, ¹⁵N, and ¹³C resonance assignments of the free form of the PTB domain of the signaling protein Shc.

Methods and results

The Shc PTB domain construct (residues 1-207) was subcloned into the pET15b vector and expressed in E. Coli BL21(DE3) cells. Uniformly ¹⁵N-labeled or ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled protein samples were prepared by growing the bacteria in minimal media containing ¹⁵NH₄Cl, with or without [U-¹³C]-glucose (Cambridge Isotope Labs). Uniformly ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled. fractionally deuterated proteins were prepared in a similar fashion by using 75% ²H₂O. The proteins were purified to apparent homogeneity by affinity chromatography on a nickel-IDA column (Invitrogen) followed by the removal of poly-histidine tag by thrombin cleavage. NMR samples of the protein (typically ~ 0.5 mM) were prepared in 50 mM Sodium phosphate buffer of pH 6.5, containing 20 mM perdeuterated DTT, in $H_2O/^2H_2O$ (90%/10%) or ²H₂O. All NMR experiments were carried out at 35 °C on Bruker DRX500 and DRX600 spectrometers equipped with four rf channels, and a tripleresonance probe with 3-axis pulsed field gradients. The NMR data were processed and analyzed using programs of NMRPipe (Delaglio et al., 1995) and NMRView (Johnson and Blevins, 1994). Deuteriumdecoupled triple-resonance experiments HNCACB, and HN(CO)CACB (Yamazaki et al., 1994) which

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mails amjad.farooq@mssm.edu; zhoum@inka.mssm.edu




Figure 1. PTB domain of the signaling protein Shc (residues 1–207). (a) A region of $^{1}\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ HSQC showing amide resonances of select residues. (b) CSI plots for the H α and C α atoms as a function of protein chain length.

were recorded with a uniformly ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled and fractionally (75%) deuterated sample, were used to obtain the backbone resonance assignments. The side chain ¹H and ¹³C atoms were assigned using a 3D (H)C(CO)NH-TOCSY (Logan et al., 1993) experiment recorded on the ²H(75%)/¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled sample. Side chain ¹H resonances were assigned with a 3D HCCH-TOCSY spectrum (Clore and Gronenborn, 1994) using a fully protonated ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled sample in ²H₂O, and were confirmed with a 3D ¹⁵N-dispersed TOCSY-HSQC. The side chain ¹H and ¹³C resonances for the aromatic residues were assigned using a combination of experiments, including ¹³C HSQC, CT-¹³C HSQC, 3D HCCH-TOCSY recorded in the aromatic carbon region with the double labeled sample.

Extent of assignment and data deposition

Apart from the unstructured N-terminal region (residues 1–39), backbone assignment of ¹HN, ¹⁵N, 13 Cα, and 13 Cβ atoms for nearly 95% residues was obtained. The assignment for the residues 1-39 proved extremely difficult due largely to poor chemical shift dispersion and line broadening in the NMR spectra. Figure 1a shows a region of the ¹H/¹⁵N HSQC spectrum for the Shc PTB domain at pH 6.5 and 35 °C. Excluding the N-terminal unstructured region (residues 1–39), the side chain ¹H and ¹³C resonance assignments were obtained for about 90% of the residues. A total of 51 slowly exchanging amide protons were identified with a series of ¹⁵N-HSQC spectra recorded on an uniformly ¹⁵N-labeled sample after the H₂O buffer was changed to ²H₂O buffer. A total of 69 $^{3}J_{\rm NH-H\alpha}$ coupling constants were measured with a 3D HNHA spectrum (Vuister and Bax, 1993). The chemical shift index (CSI) of the H α and C α atoms (Figure 1b), characteristic sequential and medium range NOEs, and $^3J_{\rm NH-H}\alpha$ coupling constants indicate that like the complex form, the free form of the Shc PTB domain is also comprised of a mixed $\alpha\beta$ fold. A table of the 1H , ^{15}N , and ^{13}C chemical shift assignments of the Shc PTB domain has been deposited in the BioMagResBank Database (http://www.bmrb.wisc.edu) under the accession number 5566. The ^{13}C chemical shifts reported are referenced against the protonated sample.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the American Cancer Society grant to MMZ. AF is a recipient of a Wellcome Trust Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

Clore, G.M. and Gronenborn, A.M. (1994) *Meth. Enzymol.*, 239, 249–363

Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G.W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J. and Bax, A. (1995) *J. Biomol. NMR*, **6**, 277–293.

Dyson, H.J. and Wright, P.E. (2002) Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 12, 54–60.

Johnson, B.A. and Blevins, R.A. (1994) J. Biomol. NMR, 4, 603–614.

Kavanaugh, W.M. and Williams, L.T. (1994) Science, 266, 1862– 1865

Logan, T.M., Olejniczak, E.T., Xu, R.X. and Fesik, S.W. (1993) J. Biolmol. NMR, 3, 225–231.

Pawson, T. and Nash, P. (2000) Genes Dev. 14, 1027-1047.

Vuister, G. and Bax, A. (1993) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115, 7772–7777.
Yamazaki, T., Lee, W., Arrowsmith, C.H., Mahandiram, D.R. and Kay, L.E. (1994) J. Am. Chem. Soc., 116, 11655–11666.

Zhou, M.-M., Ravichandran, K.S., Olejniczak, E.T., Petros, A.P., Meadows, R.P., Sattler, M., Harlan, J.E., Wade, W., Burakoff, S.J. and Fesik, S.W. (1995) *Nature*, 378, 584–592.